High-speed single-particle data collection protocols using a 200 keV cryoTEM

Joshua Strauss Ph.D. Research Assistant Professor Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics Director of the CryoEM Core Facility University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill https://www.med.unc.edu/cryo-em/

Why is Data Collection Speed Important

- Maximize use of cryoTEM beam time
- Data collection is informative for screening
- Limit bottleneck and wait time for access to a cryoTEM
- Some heterogenous samples require lots of data >10,000 movies
- Screening and "smart data collection" pipelines

UNC CH CryoEM Core

Talos Arctica G3





Align TEM, Check LowDose, Comma Vs IS Calibration

The SerialEM Script Repository

CryoEM SPA Data Collection Script

MacroName UpdatedDataCollection_V3 # SPA macro for Krigs/Quantum/K2 # Buffer P is expected to have reference hole image from Low Dose View # Wim Hagen, EMBL Heidelberg 20170309 # Credits to Chen Xu & Henning Stahlberg group # Joshua Strauss modified to include black strip test # Joshua Strauss modified 20200824 hole center and defocus check # Joshua Strauss modified 201024 will save LastView for troubleshooting # Jared Peck modified to add SaveLog # JF mod touch on/off toggle in settings and colval on # JVP 36K has defocus offset of +0.5 JDS Black Strip View False + adjust threshold 980 # JVP modified time reporting and trimmed out some delays, needs testing				
#######################################	######################################			
<pre>maxholeshift =</pre>	100 # max beam/image shift after hole centering in nanometers			
driftcrit = driftinterval = drifttimes =	2.0 # A/sec 10 # seconds of delay between drift measurements 7 # max no of drift measurements			
TD_low = TD_high = delta	-0.5 # low defocus microns -1.5 #high defocus microns = 0.5 # defocus step microns 0.1			
touch bmsft	= 1 #set to 0 for off = 0.22			
######################################				

SCRIPTS BY CATEGORY SCRIPTS BY AUTHOR LINKS LOGIN/REGISTER

Scripts by Author Add Script Chen Xu WaitForRefilling Wim Hagen Conse-symmetric tomography Apgrids 🖓 SPAK2aligntomap TuneScope test hole centering SPA multiple images Test Radius Crouped dose-symmetric tomography SPA multiple images & k3blackstripecheck 🗞 k2blackstripecheck

SPA Data Collection Talos Arctica Gatan K3



50 μm Condenser Aperture						
<u>Spot</u> <u>Size</u>	<u>% C2 Parallel</u> Illumination	<u>Beam</u> Diameter (μm)	<u>Flux (e-</u> /pixel/sec)	<u>Dose Rate</u> (e ⁻ /Å ² /sec)		
1	46.461	1.73	29.94	36.16		
2	44.829	1.599	25.54	30.84		
3	43.2	1.67	15.58	18.81		
4	41.891	1.632	10.47	12.64		
5	40.826	1.695	6.16	7.44		
6	39.288	1.567	3.84	4.64		
7	38.468	1.64	1.82	2.2		
8	37.952	1.62	0.6	0.72		
Average Beam Diameter (μm)		1.64				

Measurements made at nominal magnification of 54,900 X at the detector level, corresponding to pixel size of 0.88 Å.

The objective and selected area aperture were removed.

Gun lens was set to 4.

SPA Data Collection Setup and SerialEM Scrip



Multishot setup for Talos Arctica on R0.6/1 Quantifoil TEM Grid

x



EM Map Apoferritin Collected Overnight



0.5 second IS delay conservative to speed up data collection

Does IS Long Distance Reduce Data Quality?

Particle Contribution to Final EM Map by Multshot Position





Subgroup analysis of overnight data collection

<u>Image Shift (μm)</u>	<u>Number of Holes</u>	IS Delay (sec)	<u>Number of</u> <u>Particles in Each</u> <u>Group</u>	<u>Number of</u> <u>Particles in EM</u> <u>Map</u>	<u>Resolution (Å)</u>	<u>Beta Factor</u>	
6.79	3	2.6	66386	20564	2.06	41	IS Delay
6.79	1	7.8	20564	20564	2.06	42.5	15 Delay
6.79	4	7.8, 2.6	86950	23445	2.06	43.4	
5.77	8	2.6	176130	23445	2.02	41.5	
5.06	8	2.6	181108	23445	2.04	42.6	
4.8	4	2.6	90289	23445	2.04	43.1	IS Distanco
4.53	4	2.6	90378	23445	2.03	41.7	15 Distance
3.58	8	2.6	185819	23445	2.02	42	
3.2	4	2.6	93618	23445	2.03	42.5	
2.26	4	2.6	92144	23445	2.03	42.2	
1.6	4	2.6	92050	23445	2.06	42.2	
0	1	2.6	23445	23445	2.08	43	

Is Less IS Delay Detrimental ?



0.40% Black Stripe
6.69% Autofocus
7.39% Align to Hole
11.89% Measure Drift
20.06% Record
53.57% Delay

Data collection speeds using different image shift delay factors

Table 1

SR ISDF 0.25 HB ISDF 0 а Data collection and EM map statistics. Data collection Hardware-binned Super-resolution Talos Arctica G3 Talos Arctica G3 Microscope Voltage (keV) 200 200 Nominal magnification 54900 54900 HB ISDF 0.5 SR ISDF 0.5 Detector Gatan K3 Gatan K3 Pixel size (Å) 0.44 0.88 **Multishot** 7×7 7×7 Image shift delay factor 0, 0.5, 1.0 0, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0 Cumulative exposure $(e^{-} Å^{-2})$ 45.367 45.367 Exposure rate $(e^{-} pixel^{-1} s^{-1})$ 12.9 12.9 Exposure per frame $(e^{-} Å^{-2})$ 0.756 0.756 HB ISDF 1 SR ISDF 1 q Defocus range (µm) 0.46 - 1.590.46 - 1.49Movies collected 490 400 Data collection rate (movies per hour) 346, 348, 342, 296 240 524, 488, 426 EM Map Final particles (No.) 313040 313040 SR ISDF 0 SR ISDF 2 Symmetry imposed h 0 0 2.0/1.83, 2.1/1.90 Resolution at FSC 0.143 Å 2.1/1.85, 2.0/1.83, 2.0/1.81, 2.0/1.81 (unmasked/masked) 2.0/1.81, 2.0/1.80 Map-sharpening *B*-factor (Å) 60.2, 56.8, 55.2, 55.7, 55 57.4, 68.9, 55.9 Fraction of physical Nyquist 0.95, 0.96, 0.97, 0.97, 0.98 0.96, 0.93, 0.97

Can We Go Faster?

What if we had a better grid?



66 % more holes per unit area

High-speed Data Collection on a Lacey TEM grid: Proof of concept while R06/06 grid is being made



Reduced exposure to 0.9 seconds and collected 20 frames

Particles per hour Super Resolution and Hardware Binned at different magnifications and spotsizes



Nominal Magnification	45k HWB	22k SR		
Pixel size	0.88 Å/pix	0.89 Å/pix		
Cryo Grid	R1.2/1.3 UF	R1.2/1.3 UF		
Voltage (keV)	200	200		
Multishot	5 x 5	5 x 5		
Micrographs Used	400	420		
Initial Particles	294,624	909,100		
Final Particles	255,443	792,589		
GSFSC Symmetry O	1.91 (P92J90)	2.00 (P97J57)		
Frac Phys Nyquist	1.09	0.56		
Bfactor	58.9	74.2		
Defocus (SD) ^a	1.0 (0.3)	0.7 (0.5)		
Range	0.1-1.8	0.1-2.0		
Record time 25mic/min	3.25+0.04	7.1+0.5		
Micrographs/hr	293 (+80%)	163 (-44%)		
Particles/hr	214,396 (-39%)	348,942 (+63%)		
GSFSC for 0.74 mm ⁻	2.49 (P92189)	2.70 (193102)		
(micrographs/particles)	4/2577	1/2572		
GSFSC for				
250,000 particles				



Acknowledgments

UNC CH CryoEM Core

- Jared Peck
- Dr. Joshua Strauss

UNC CH Dept BCBP

• Dr. Jonathan Fay



Funding

- National Cancer Institute of National Institute of Health (grant No. P30CA016086)
- UNC CH Core Facility Advocacy Committee and Office of Research Technology (CFAC MD 2020)

