Initial Model Generation

Workshop on Advanced Topics in EM Structure Determination

The Scripps Research Institute La Jolla, November 2007

The issue: Structures of the IP3 receptor as determined by single particle EM



Structure determination by single particle EM

Sample Specimen preparation **EM** specimen Data collection Set of 2D images 3D reconstruction **Initial 3D model** Refinement **Refined 3D model** Verification

Negative staining
Cryo-negative staining
Vitrification

- Images of untilted samples
 Tilt pairs
 Tilt series
- Random conical tilt
 Ab initio angle assignment
 Tomographic reconstruction

Refinement of orientation parameters, magnification, and CTF parameters

Angular distribution Comparison with 2D data set Comparison with known structures



Images that are used for a 3D reconstruction have to be of identical molecules !

Sample can be heterogeneous even if it is biochemically homogeneous – conformational heterogeneity – unstable complexes

Before attempting any 3D reconstruction: Understand your sample !

Sample

We always prepare negatively stained specimens first good contrast & often preferred orientations (depends somewhat on preparation method)

We always calculate class averages (0° images) different averages = different conformations (but can also be different orientations)

We usually calculate 3D reconstructions (RCT) different 3D maps = different conformations (but can also be deformations)

Sample - Negative staining



Many preparation artifacts (incomplete stain embedding, adsorption deformations, specimen flattening upon drying) Limitation of the resolution to about 20 Å

Particles adopt preferred orientations on the continuous carbon film !

Need to record images of tilted specimens

<u>BUT</u>:

Very useful for heterogeneous samples

3D reconstruction by RCT is very reliable

Random conical tilt reconstruction



Tiltaxis





Radermacher et al. (1987)

Random conical tilt reconstruction



RCT – AMPA receptor Heterogeneity due to different conformations



RCT - AMPA receptor Heterogeneity due to different conformations



RCT - AMPA receptor Heterogeneity due to different conformations



RCT - Sec23/Sec24 complex

Heterogeneity due to different orientations Negligible deformations





0° tilt



RCT - Sec23/Sec24 complex Heterogeneity due to different orientations



Lederkremer et al., 2001

Bi et al., 2002

RCT - Tf-TfR complex

Heterogeneity due to different orientations Severe deformations











Top view

Face view

RCT - Tf-TfR complex

Heterogeneity due to different orientations Severe deformations

Face view









90°



Top view



Conventional negative staining

Cryo-negative staining



Addition of glycerol minimizes adsorption artifacts prevents specimen flattening serves as cryo-protectant

Carbon sandwich reduces incomplete stain embedding

> Freezing prevents specimen flattening

RCT - Tf-TfR complex

Heterogeneity due to different orientations Severe deformations



RCT - Tf-TfR complex

Heterogeneity due to different orientations Severe deformations

Face view













Top view



Cryo-negative staining

Single particles in ice



Angular reconstitution (Imagic)



van Heel, 1987

- 1. chose 3 projection images that are perpendicular views of the particle (anchor set)
- 2. add in further projections and keep refining



Serysheva et al., 1995

Angular reconstitution - Ryanodine receptor



Serysheva et al., 1995

Angular reconstitution - Tf-TfR complex



Vitrified ice

Angular reconstitution - Tf-TfR complex



Angular reconstitution (IMAGIC)

Structure depends critically on the anchor set (these should ideally be 3 perpendicular views, which is hard to know with an unknown molecule)

Structure also depends on the order in which additional projections are included

Angular reconstitution is best for: large specimens with symmetry, and available structural information (can be obtained from random conical tilt)

OP command (SPIDER)

Penczek et al., 1996

A common-lines based method for determining orientations for N > 3 particle projections simultaneously

Essentially the opposite of standard common lines approach

(instead of trying to determine the Euler angles based on pair-wise angles of common lines in the projections' planes, one assumes that rotation matrices are known, finds set of angles of common lines and computes the overall discrepancy along these lines)

Applied to 70S ribosome from E. coli

OP command - Tf-TfR complex



OP reconstructions

3D reconstruction in EMAN

Also uses a set of projections to generate an initial model

Electron tomographic reconstruction



Electron tomography - Clathrin-coated vesicles



Electron tomography - Clathrin-coated vesicles





Reference model (face view in cryo-negative stain)



Reference model (top view in cryo-negative stain)



Reference model (face view in conventional negative stain)



Model refinement - APC



Anaphase promoting complex (*S. pombe*) in negative stain



Model refinement - APC



Model refinement - APC



Model verification - APC



Model verification - APC

Model verification - Clathrin cages

Clathrin cages in vitrified ice

Model verification - Clathrin cages

Model verification - Clathrin cages

Model verification - AMPA receptor

Model verification - AMPA receptor

Model verification - AMPA receptor

Model verification - Spliceosome

Mammalian C complex (Jurica et al., 2004)

S. pombe Cdc5p complex

Model verification - Spliceosome

S. pombe Cdc5p complex in vitrified ice using cryo-negative stain reconstruction as initial model

Model verification - Spliceosome

Conclusions

Never just believe your initial model ! There is currently no general way to generate a reliable initial model

Calculate random conical tilt reconstructions of (cryo-)negatively stained specimens ! The density map is probably distorted, but it is a good basis to interpret subsequent reconstructions

Use your "biological intelligence" !

Frank, J. (2006) Three-Dimensional Electron Microscopy of Macromolecular Assemblies Oxford University Press, Inc.

Radermacher, M., Wagenknecht, T., Verschoor, A. and Frank, J. (1987) Three-dimensional reconstruction from a single-exposure, random conical tilt series applied to the 50S ribosomal subunit of Escherichia coli. *J. Microsc.* <u>146</u>: 113-136

Van Heel, M. (1987) Angular reconstitution: a posteriori assignment of projection directions for 3D reconstruction. *Ultramicroscopy* <u>21</u>: 111-123

Serysheva, I. I., Orlova, E. V., Chiu, W., Sherman, M. B., Hamilton, S. L. and van Heel, M. (1995) Electron cryomicroscopy and angular reconstitution used to visualize the skeletal muscle calcium release channel. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* <u>2</u>: 18-24

Penczek, P. A., Zhu, J. and Frank, J. (1996) A common-lines based method for determining orientations for N > 3 particle projections simultaneously. *Ultramicroscopy* <u>63</u>: 205-218