This material is provided for educational use only. The information in these slides including all data, images and related materials are the property of :

Bridget Carragher

Department of Cell Biology, CB129 The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road La Jolla, CA 92037, USA Tel: (858) 784-9050 Fax: (858) 784-9090

No part of this material may be reproduced without explicit written permission.





Automated Processing and Reconstruction

Bridget Carragher Clint Potter





Automated image processing and reconstruction



Assess the images



Determine the CTF



Select and segment particles



Reconstruct 3D map

Leginon Database



Leginon Web Client (01-may-2001) - Microsoft Internet Exp File Edit View Favorites Tools Help 🌣 Back 🔻 🗢 🔻 🥥 🔄 🕼 🔍 Search 🖾 Favorites 🔇 History 🛛 🖓 🌆 🖸 💌 🖻 📿 Low Magnification <index> <main page> <tree> <low mag> <square-> <square+> 01may02a Magnification 1600 Electron Dose 0.0000 Defocus -30000 Goniometer (x,y) -668148, -111979 remails condiums chill Leginon Web Client (01-may-2001) - Microsof Internet Explorer File Edit View Favorites Tools Help 🌣 Back 🔻 🗢 🔻 🥥 🔄 🕼 🔍 Search 🖾 Favorites 🎯 History 🖏 🕶 🐼 💌 🖃 📿 Intermediate Magnification #12> <square+> <hole-> <hole+> low mag> <square-> <go to squ 01may02a Magnification 5000 Electron Dose 0.1200 -30000 Defocus Goniometer (x,y) -669806, -112097

Database (at ~2 years):

# of experiments:	~300
# of images :	~235,000
# of records:	~45,000,000
Online data:	~1 Terabyte
Archived data:	~360 Gbytes
Size of database:	~270 Mbytes

"Typical experiment"

5000 images collected 20 Gbyte data

•Provides a means of systematically assessing the quality of the data.

•Provides a system for delivering "standard" datasets to other interested communities.

http://nramm.scripps.edu/

Fellman, et al, J. Struct. Biol, 137, p. 273-282 (2002)

Assessing the images: TMV Exposure 1



dose ~12e⁻/Å² $\Delta f = -30 nm$



Exposure 2



dose ~24e⁻/Å² $\Delta f = -200 nm$



Focus image



 $\Delta f = -200 nm$



Assessing the images: GroEL

Exposure 1



∆f = -60nm



Exposure 2



∆f = -200nm



Focus image



∆f = -60nm



Defocus determination









Defocus –300nm

Defocus -2000 nm



1 image : 17 particles

Set of images : ~ 2000 particles





focus power spectrum

"Automated" defocus determination



Defocus determination using incoherent sum of structure



FT(incoherent sum)





A few references on automated CTF determination:

Automated Determination of Parameters Describing Power Spectra of Micrograph Images in Electron Microscopy Zhong Huang, Philip R. Baldwin, Srinivas Mullapudi, and Pawel A. Penczek J. Struct. Biol., In press.

Automatic CTF correction for single particles based upon multivariate statistical analysis of individual power spectra. Sander, B., Golas, M. M., Stark, H. J. Struct. Biol. 142, 392-401 (2003)

Accurate determination of local defocus and specimen tilt in electron microscopy. Mindell, J. A., Grigorieff, N. J. Struct. Biol. 142, 334-347 (2003)

Automated specimen selection and segmentation



Filaments

Single particles

Filament selection and segmentation

far from focus



Single particle selection and segmentation Algorithm:

- (i) Find the edges w/ Canny
- (ii) Find the shapes w/ Hough
- (iii) Pruning



Zhu et al. (2003) "Automatic Particle Detection through Efficient Hough Transforms," IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, 22, 1053-1062

Some examples



411 image pairs686 filaments automatically selected



816 image pairs23,000 particles automatically selected

Automated particle selection "bakeoff"

human vs. human

human

VS.

feature

recognition



human vs. template matching

> human vs. machine learning

Automated particle selection Bakeoff



http://ami.scripps.edu/leginon/particle_viewer/

Bakeoff Results



Bakeoff Results

Tes	it .	Bern	Mouche (Manual)	Haas (Manual)	Hall	Ludtke (EMAN)	Melanson ¹ (Manual)	Roseman	Sigworth	Bajaj	Zhu
Truth			l` í	Ì Í		, í	(manual)				
Bern (94	8) ²		16.2	21.5	36.3	43.1	91.0	10.3	64.5	11.5	17.1
<u>`</u>	<u>´</u>		23.8	21.0	37.7	30.3	24.1	30.3	6.6	33.9	28.0
Mouche	(1042)	23.8		11.7	27.4	43.4	91.1	2.4	65.9	8.3	9.7
		16.2		2.3	22.0	23.7	17.0	16.6	1.7	24.7	13.7
Haas (94	4)	21.0	2.3		26.2	41.1	91.0	1.5	64.2	7.0	8.8
		21.5	11.7		28.2	28.4	24.1	23.9	6.4	31.0	21.3
Hall (969	<i>)</i>)	37.7	22.0	28.2		52.0	92.3	19.3	72.1	24.3	25.7
		36.3	27.4	26.2		39.9	33.0	35.8	25.2	42.2	33.7
Ludtke (775)	30.3	23.7	28.4	39.9		92.1	20.3	63.6	21.0	23.5
		43.4	43.4	41.1	52.0		45.5	49.4	22.2	51.9	45.4
Melanso	n (112)	24.1	17.0	24.1	33.0	45.5		13.4	63.4	17.0	23.2
		91.0	91.1	91.0	92.2	92.1		92.0	88.6	92.7	92.2
Rosemar	a (1219)	30.3	16.6	23.9	35.8	49.4	92.0		70.8	14.0	17.5
		10.3	2.4	1.5	19.3	20.3	13.4		1.4	17.4	7.8
Sigworth	(361)	6.6	1.7	6.4	25.2	22.2	88.6	1.4		5.0	6.1
		64.5	65.9	64.2	72.1	63.6	63.4	70.8		73.0	68.7
Bajaj (12	269)	33.9	24.7	31.0	42.2	51.9	92.7	17.4	73.0		24.0
		11.5	8.3	7.0	24.3	21.0	17.0	14.0	5.0		11.4
Zhu (110	19)	28.0	13.7	21.3	33.7	45.4	92.2	7.8	68.7	11.4	
		17.1	9.7	8.8	25.7	23.5	23.2	17.5	6.1	24.0	
Median	FNR	28.0/26.2	16.6/15.3	23.9/21.8	33.7/33.3	45.4/43.8	92.0/91.4	10.3/10.4	65.9/67.4	11.5/13.3	17.1/15.9
/Mean											
	FPR	21.5/34.6	23.8/31.5	21.0/29.2	28.2/41.5	28.4/38.1	24.0/29.0	30.3/38.9	6.4/18.1	33.9/43.4	28.0/35.8
Std.	FNR	9.0	8.4	8.0	6.0	9.0	1.2	7.6	3.8	6.5	7.2
	FPR	27.6	30.0	31.0	25.6	24.4	16.1	27.0	27.8	25.1	28.5

Zhu, Y., B. Carragher, R.M. Glaeser, D. Fellmann, C. Bajaj, M. Bern, F. Mouche, F. de Haas, R.J. Hall, S.C. Ludtke, P.A. Penczek, A.M. Roseman, F.J. Sigworth, N. Volkmann, and C.S. Potter (2003) "Automatic Particle Selection: Results of A "Bakeoff", JSB, In press.

Some examples using the "Roseman" algorithm (+)



GroEL # images: 364 # particles: 23,000 Time: 20 hours Ribosomes # images: 551 # particles: 26,000 Time: 36 hours CPMV # images: 300 # particles: 14,000 Time: 6 hours

Reconstruction:

helices



single particles

2D crystals

viruses

Reconstruction of TMV Grid to map: 10Å within 24 hours.



TMV







-Survey grid -Identify potential grid squares Dose = 0

-Identify location of holes -Estimate ice thickness Dose = 0.001 e/A²

-Estimate probability of specimen -Identify location of best specimen Dose = 0.1 e/A²

-Identify and segment filaments Dose = $10 \text{ e}/\text{A}^2$

-Find layer lines -CTF correction -Tilt and shift correction -Fitting and averaging

Potter, et al. Ultramicroscopy, 77 (1999) 153-161 Carragher, et al., J. Struct. Biology 132 (2000) 33-45

Why TMV?





Layer Line Numbers: 1 2 3 6 9 12

1: 69 Å
 2: 34.5 Å
 3: 23 Å
 4: 17.2 Å
 5: 13.8 Å
 6: 11.5 Å
 9: 7.6 Å
 12: 5.8 Å



^{11.5} A

Helical analysis using Phoelix









Fourier Shell Coefficient (FSC) for this experiment: 5.8A

Interpretation of the map





Helical axis

23 Å



Cylindrical section at radius 66Å



What is the throughput?



# grids:	1
# squares:	19
# holes:	91
# defocus pairs:	131
# filaments found:	243
Duration:	12 hrs
Phoelix reconstruction	
# filaments used in map:	45
# molecules in map:	~70,000
Resolution of map:	~7.6Å
Yield:	~20%



Single particle example: 3D reconstruction of Hemocyanin





1
10
209
816
21,000
28 hrs
~11,000
~110,000
~12 Å (FSC 3o), ~15 Å (FSC 0.5)



3D reconstruction of CPMV in stain



Icosahedral virus example: 3D reconstruction of CPMV in stain

Acquisition



~2,000 particles/hr (100 images/hr)

# grids:	1
# squares:	10
# holes:	-
# defocus pairs:	816
# particles found (full):	24,000
Duration:	17 hrs
EMAN reconstruction	
# particles used in map:	~200
# molecules in map:	12,000
Resolution of map:	~40A

Selection



~3,800 particles/hr

Reconstruction



<1 hr/map (200 particles)

What next?

Improve throughput!

Rate Data acquisition and targeting algorithms Cryostage stability CCD cameras

Yield Learning and intelligence (databases)

> Sustainability Automated cryostage filling Robotic specimen handling

Throughput: 2K camera



1700x

Improving throughput: 4K CCD Camera



Acquisition area at 50,000x for 4K camera Acquisition area at 62,000x for 2K camera

Improving yield:





X Selected squares (167) Holes found (90) Filaments found (66) LL identified (33) Fitted into average (20)

filaments used in final map: ~100
squares used in final map: 20
holes used in final map: 32

Improving sustainability:

Automated cryostage replenishment prototype



Automated cryostage replenishment prototype



Improving sustainability: Robotic specimen handling



Why use a robot to handle specimens?

Screening trials

Virus characterization

. . .

Throughput: 10's of grids per day

Stain not ice!

Robotic specimen handling



Robotic specimen handling





Robotic specimen handling



Automation goal: Screening trials Example: Rhodopsin crystals



Courtesy of Yoshi Fujiyoshi

Automation goal: Virus characterization Example: CPMV



~1000's particles/hour





200 particles per map

 CPMV Classifier

 Full
 23,277
 (63%)

 Empty
 8,678
 (24%)

 Junk
 4,664
 (13%)





Core Technology Projects: Specimen handling Automated image acquisition Automated processing Information handling

Automation goals:

- 7-15 Å resolution
- high throughput
- mainstream









A Practical Course in Molecular Microscopy

November 12-20, 2003

Center for Integrative Molecular Biosciences (CIMBio) The Scripps Research Institute (TSRI), La Jolla, California

http://nramm.scripps.edu

AMI / NRAMM Team:



Jim Pulokas



Denis Fellmann



Christian Suloway



Yuanxin Zhu



Francisco Guerra



Joel Quispe



Anchi Cheng



Scott Stagg



Brian Sheehan



Cynthia Ona







Clint Potter



Support:



National Institutes of Health (GM61939) National Science Foundation (DBI-9730056, DBI-0296063)